

Hall, Kristin

From: Bray, Dave
Sent: Thursday, May 09, 2013 4:59 PM
To: Hall, Kristin; Venus, Shirin
Cc: Suzuki, Debra
Subject: RE: Oregon infrastructure action - response to comments

Interesting. The ACDP application doesn't include any windblown dust emissions from coal in railcars or barges whereas that is the bulk of the PM emissions modeled by the Sierra Club in their comments on the iSIP. Also, the Sierra Club modeling includes NOx emissions from the locomotive and tugboat diesel engines whereas the ACDP doesn't include those mobile source emissions (correctly so as they are not stationary source emissions). The Sierra Club modeling doesn't include any of the PM emissions from coal handling, transfer, or storage within the terminal, which is all that the ACDP application covers.

From: Hall, Kristin
Sent: Thursday, May 09, 2013 4:20 PM
To: Bray, Dave; Venus, Shirin
Cc: Suzuki, Debra
Subject: Oregon infrastructure action - response to comments

Hi all –

The comments we received on the Oregon infrastructure action reference the Coyote Island coal terminal. I've tried to research the status of the proposed facility and air quality permit.

Below is a link to the Standard ACDP permit application for the Coyote Island coal terminal. It appears, based on the ODEQ website, that the permit application was received last July, and is still in the process of being evaluated.

The application indicates they plan to install wet scrubbers to control for PM2.5 and PM10 fugitive emissions.

<http://www.deq.state.or.us/er/docs/CoalExportProject/ACDPApplicationCoyoteIslandTerminal2.pdf>